

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A.	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/628,200	07/28/2003		Michael Kotzin		CS10455 6111			
20280	7590	09/20/2005			EXAMINER			
	MOTOROLA INC 600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45					CHEN, SHIH CHAO		
ROOM AS4				· [-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LIBERTYV	LLE, IL	60048-5343			2821			

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	$\sim$
X.	<
0	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/628,200	KOTZIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shih-Chao Chen	2821		

	70,020,200								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Shih-Chao Chen	2821							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.							
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since						
AMENDMENTS									
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for									
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a									
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>									
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,3-17,24-26,31 and 32. Claim(s) objected to: 30. Claim(s) rejected: 27-29.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 18-23.									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
13.  Other:		م ال							
	SHIH-CHAO CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER	Shill-Villo C Shih-Chao Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2821	then .						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant amended claims 27-28 are not including all limitations in claim 30 (e.g. U.S. Patent No. 5,451,974).